IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

KWAI FUN WONG and WU WEI TIEN TAO ASSOCIATION,) Civil No. 01-718-ST
Plaintiffs,)
v.	ORDER
DAVID V. BEEBE, JOHN DOE IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE (aka DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY))))
OFFICIALS, and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))
Defendants)

Beth Ann Creighton
Zan E. Tewksbury
Thomas M. Steenson
STEENSON SCHUMANN TEWKSBURY CREIGHTON & ROSE, P.C.
815 S.W. Second Avenue, Suite 500
Portland, OR 97204

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Kenneth C. Bauman UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204-2902

R. Joseph Sher U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Torts Branch Civil Division 2100 Jamieson Avenue Alexandria, VA 22314

Attorneys for Defendants

JONES, Judge:

Magistrate Judge Janice M. Stewart filed Findings and Recommendation (#238) on November 17, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a <u>de novo</u> determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); <u>McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.</u>, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert. denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Defendants have timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Stewart's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (#238) dated November 17, 2005, in its entirety. Defendant Beebe's motion (#175) for judgment on the pleadings is granted in part as to the Second Claim (First

Amendment) alleged by Wong insofar as it is based on Wong's inability to associate with her followers. In all other respects, the motion is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 9th day of January, 2006.

/s/ Robert E. Jones
ROBERT E. JONES

United States District Judge